		•		
		÷		
			ř.	
	,			
		12		
			147	
	<i>)</i>			



## UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 01/23/97 LITTLE D U/18485-0012 08/786,988 **EXAMINER** IM62/0613 WARDEN, J STEPHANIE L. SEIDMAN HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 4250 EXECUTIVE SQUARE, 7TH FLOOR 1743 LA JOLLA CA 92037 DATE MAILED: 06/13/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95)

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. **09/786,988** 

Applicant(s)

l

Jill Warden

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Little, et al.

1743



separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attac Claims allowed: none Claims objected to: none Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99  The proposed drawing correction filed on	is or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever use expire later than six months from the date of the final (6(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The te of the response and also the date for the purposes of Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be appose or as set forth in b) above.  Appeal filed on
b)  expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or or is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response exprejection.  Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filled is the date of the determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension of the originally set shortened statutory period for responses.  Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appe period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on	is or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever use expire later than six months from the date of the final (6(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The te of the response and also the date for the purposes of Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be appose or as set forth in b) above.  Appeal filed on
is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response exprejection.  Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any ecalculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response and period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on	ise expire later than six months from the date of the final (6(a)), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The te of the response and also the date for the purposes of Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be uponse or as set forth in b) above.  Appeal filed on
date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filled is the dea. Any calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response SM Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appe period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on	te of the response and also the date for the purposes of Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be sponse or as set forth in b) above.  Appeal filed on
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on	has been considered with the following effect,  Brief.  on and/or search. (See note below).  for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the anding number of finally rejected claims.  Cancelation of these claims.  would be allowable if submitted in a ms.  dered but does NOT place the application in condition
but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:    The proposed amendment(s):   will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.   will not be entered because:   they raise new issues that would require further consideration and they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).   they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appissues for appeal.   they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding NOTE:   Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):   112, second paragraph of 100 and 101 has been obviated by cancelling separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.   The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered for allowance because:   The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.   For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attac Claims allowed: none   Claims objected to: none   Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99   The proposed drawing correction filed on   has	Brief.  on and/or search. (See note below).  for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the anding number of finally rejected claims.  cancelation of these claims.  would be allowable if submitted in a ms.  dered but does NOT place the application in condition
<ul> <li>         ⊠ will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.         □ will not be entered because:         □ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).         □ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for apissues for appeal.         □ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding NOTE:         □ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the nding number of finally rejected claims.   cancelation of these claims.  would be allowable if submitted in a ms.  dered but does NOT place the application in conditio
<ul> <li>□ will not be entered because:</li> <li>□ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and</li> <li>□ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).</li> <li>□ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for ap issues for appeal.</li> <li>□ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding NOTE:</li> <li>□ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>□ 112, second paragraph of 100 and 101 has been obviated by cance.</li> <li>□ Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>□ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered for allowance because:</li> <li>□ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>☑ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attact Claims allowed: none</li> <li>□ Claims objected to: none</li> <li>□ Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction filed on □ has</li> </ul>	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the nding number of finally rejected claims.   cancelation of these claims.  would be allowable if submitted in a ms.  dered but does NOT place the application in conditio
<ul> <li>□ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).</li> <li>□ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for ap issues for appeal.</li> <li>□ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding NOTE:</li> <li>□ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):         <ul> <li>112, second paragraph of 100 and 101 has been obviated by cancelling.</li> <li>□ Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>□ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered for allowance because:</li> <li>□ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ul> </li> <li>☑ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attact Claims allowed: none</li></ul>	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the nding number of finally rejected claims.  cancelation of these claims.  would be allowable if submitted in a ms.  dered but does NOT place the application in conditio
<ul> <li>□ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).</li> <li>□ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for ap issues for appeal.</li> <li>□ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding NOTE:</li> <li>□ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):         112, second paragraph of 100 and 101 has been obviated by cancelling separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>□ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered for allowance because:</li> <li>□ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>☑ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attact Claims allowed: none</li> <li>Claims objected to: none</li> <li>Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction filed on □ □ has</li> </ul>	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the nding number of finally rejected claims.  cancelation of these claims.  would be allowable if submitted in a ms.  dered but does NOT place the application in conditio
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for ap issues for appeal.  they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding NOTE:  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  112, second paragraph of 100 and 101 has been obviated by cancelling.  Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attac Claims allowed: none Claims objected to: none Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99  The proposed drawing correction filed on	cancelation of these claims.  would be allowable if submitted in a ms.  dered but does NOT place the application in conditio
issues for appeal.  they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding NOTE:  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  112, second paragraph of 100 and 101 has been obviated by cancelling.  Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attact Claims allowed: none Claims objected to: none Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99  The proposed drawing correction filed on	cancelation of these claims.  would be allowable if submitted in a ms.  dered but does NOT place the application in conditio
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  112, second paragraph of 100 and 101 has been obviated by cance.  Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attact Claims allowed: none Claims objected to: none Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99  The proposed drawing correction filed on	would be allowable if submitted in a ms.  dered but does NOT place the application in conditio
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  112, second paragraph of 100 and 101 has been obviated by cance.  Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attact Claims allowed: none Claims objected to: none Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99  The proposed drawing correction filed on	would be allowable if submitted in a ms.  dered but does NOT place the application in conditio
Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attac Claims allowed: none Claims objected to: none Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99  The proposed drawing correction filed on	would be allowable if submitted in a ms.  dered but does NOT place the application in conditio
Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attac Claims allowed: none Claims objected to: none Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99  The proposed drawing correction filed on	would be allowable if submitted in a ms.  dered but does NOT place the application in conditio
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered for allowance because:</li> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attac Claims allowed: none</li> <li>Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99</li> <li>The proposed drawing correction filed on</li></ul>	would be allowable if submitted in a ms.  dered but does NOT place the application in conditio
Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attac Claims allowed: none Claims objected to: none Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99  The proposed drawing correction filed on	would be allowable if submitted in a ms.  dered but does NOT place the application in conditio
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attac Claims allowed: none Claims objected to: none Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99  The proposed drawing correction filed on	ms. dered but does NOT place the application in conditio
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attac Claims allowed: none Claims objected to: none Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99  The proposed drawing correction filed on	ms. dered but does NOT place the application in conditio
for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attac Claims allowed: none Claims objected to: none Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99  The proposed drawing correction filed on	
the Examiner in the final rejection.  X For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attac Claims allowed: none Claims objected to: none Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99  The proposed drawing correction filed on	
Claims allowed: none  Claims objected to: none  Claims rejected: 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99  The proposed drawing correction filed on	ected SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
Claims objected to: <u>none</u> Claims rejected: <u>1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99</u> The proposed drawing correction filed onhas	attached written explanation, if any):
Claims objected to: <u>none</u> Claims rejected: <u>1-6, 9-34, 40-51, and 54-99</u> The proposed drawing correction filed onhas	
The proposed drawing correction filed on has	
•	
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	has Dhas not been approved by the Examiner.
	, Paper No(s)
X Other See attachment	

Application/Control Number: 08/786,988

Art Unit: 1743

Page 2

1. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed May 12, 2000 has been fully considered but is

insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-6, 9-34, 40-51, 54-69 and 87-101 based upon

Tisone in view of Patterson as set forth in the last Office action because:

Applicants' arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claimed invention. The

declaration and review article specify improved results in mass spec. analysis by forming an array

from about 300 picoLiter drops, wherein 15-20 drops are dispensed into each array element,

forming 4.5-6 nanoLiter volume array elements. The specific use of the picoLiter droplets

provides for rapid evaporation and crystallization of the sample to be detected. The declaration

and review article indicate that the mass spectrometric analysis of these arrays is improved over

the prior art which teaches hundred nanoliter volume array elements. The claims in the instant

application are not restricted to anything but nanoliter volume array elements, which appears to

read on the prior art. Indeed, the claim restricted to volume recites 10<sup>-10</sup> L to 10<sup>-6</sup> L, which goes

from 100 picoLiter droplets (not taught) to microLiter droplets, which are indeed taught in the

prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill Warden whose telephone number is (703) 308-4037. The examiner can

normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 305-7719.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700